

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/823,098	LIU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3744

All Participants:

Status of Application: 71

(1) Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric. (3) _____.

(2) Stephen B. Ackerman, Reg. No. 37,761. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 March 2010

Time: appx. 2:00 p.m.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-6, 25-29

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Ciric telephoned applicant's attorney to propose changing the term "when" in line 2 of claim 1 to "wherein" via an examiner's amendment in order to clarify claim 1 and claims 2 through 6 depending therefrom. Attorney Ackerman approved the proposed examiner's amendment (copy attached), thereby placing the application in condition for allowance

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)